

Trigger Point Dry Needling

*Jan Dommerholt, PT, MPS, FAAPM
Orlando Mayoral del Moral, PT
Christian Gröbli, PT*

Abstract: Trigger point dry needling is a treatment technique used by physical therapists around the world. In the United States, trigger point dry needling has been approved as within the scope of physical therapy practice in a growing number of states. There are several dry needling techniques, based on different models, including the radiculopathy model and the trigger point model, which are discussed here in detail. Special attention is paid to the clinical evidence for trigger point dry needling and the underlying mechanisms. Comparisons with injection therapy and acupuncture are reviewed. Trigger point dry needling is a relatively new technique used in combination with other physical therapy interventions.

Key Words: Myofascial Pain, Trigger Point, Acupuncture, Injection, Physical Therapy

Trigger point dry needling (TrP-DN), also referred to as intramuscular stimulation (IMS), is an invasive procedure in which an acupuncture needle is inserted into the skin and muscle. As the name implies, TrP-DN is directed at myofascial trigger points (MTrPs), which are defined as “hyperirritable spots in skeletal muscle that are associated with a hypersensitive palpable nodule in a taut band”¹. Physical therapists around the world practice TrP-DN as part of their clinical practice and use the technique in combination with other physical therapy interventions. TrP-DN falls within the scope of physical therapy practice in many countries, including Canada, Chile, Ireland, the Netherlands, South Africa, Spain, and the United Kingdom. In 2002, two Dutch medical

courts ruled that TrP-DN is within the scope of physical therapy practice in the Netherlands even though the Royal Dutch Physical Therapy Association has expressed the opinion that TrP-DN should not be part of physical therapy practice²⁻⁴. Of the approximately 9,000 physical therapists in South Africa, over 75% are estimated to employ the technique at least once daily (Stavrou, personal communication, 2006). Physical therapy continuing education programs in TrP-DN in Ireland, Switzerland, and Spain are popular among physical therapists. In Spain, several universities offer academic and specialist certification programs featuring TrP-DN as an integral component of invasive physical therapy⁵.

In the United States (US) and Australia, TrP-DN is not commonly included in physical therapy entry-level educational curricula or post-graduate continuing education programs. Relatively few physical therapists in those two countries have received training in and employ the technique. The only known US physical therapy academic program that includes course work in TrP-DN is the entry-level doctorate of physical therapy curriculum at Georgia State University (Donnelly, personal communica-

Address all correspondence and request for reprints to:
Jan Dommerholt
Bethesda Physiocare, Inc.
7830 Old Georgetown Road, Suite C-15
Bethesda, MD 20814-2440
dommerholt@bethesdaphysiocare.com

tion, 2006). However, the physical therapy state boards of Colorado, Georgia, Kentucky, Maryland, New Hampshire, New Mexico, South Carolina, and Virginia have determined in recent years that TrP-DN does fall within the scope of physical therapy in those states. Several other state boards are currently reviewing whether dry needling should fall within the scope of physical therapy practice, and the Director of Regulations of the State of Colorado has issued a specific “Director’s Policy on Intramuscular Stimulation” (Table 1)⁶.

therapy according to the 2006 Florida Statutes states that among others, the practice of physical therapy “means the performance of acupuncture only upon compliance with the criteria set forth by the Board of Medicine, when no penetration of the skin occurs”⁹. Whether TrP-DN would be considered as falling under this peculiar definition has not been contested, and the Florida Statutes do not provide any guidelines as to how to perform acupuncture without penetration of the skin⁹.

Table 1: Colorado Physical Therapy Licensure; Policies of the Director; Director’s Policy on Intramuscular Stimulation or IMS (Williams T. *Colorado Physical Therapy Licensure Policies of the Director; Policy 3 – Director’s Policy on Intramuscular Stimulation*. Denver, CO: State of Colorado, Department of Regulatory Agencies, 2005).

1	IMS is a physical intervention that uses dry needles to stimulate trigger points, diagnose and treat neuromuscular pain and functional movement deficits
2	IMS requires an examination and diagnosis, and it treats specific anatomic entities selected according to physical signs
3	IMS is not considered an entry-level skill
4	Physical therapists receive substantial training and have sufficient knowledge in the areas of reducing the incidence and severity of physical disability, movement dysfunction, bodily malfunction, and pain
5	There is substantial medical literature on IMS that has been subjected to peer review
6	Seven states (Georgia, Kentucky, Maryland, New Mexico, New Hampshire, South Carolina, and Virginia) have found IMS to be within the scope of physical therapy as of this Policy’s adoption date
7	The Director expects physical therapists to obtain the necessary training prior to using IMS
8	The Director determines that IMS falls within the scope of physical therapy as defined in section 12-41-103(6), C.R.S., and may be independently practiced by Colorado-licensed physical therapists

On the other hand, the Tennessee Board of Occupational and Physical Therapy concluded in 2002 that TrP-DN is not an acceptable physical therapy technique. The decision of the Tennessee Board was “based on the need for education and training” or in other words, the realization that TrP-DN is not commonly included in the physical therapy curricula of US academic programs^{5,7}. Some state laws have defined the practice of physical therapy as non-invasive, which would implicitly put TrP-DN outside the scope of physical therapy in those states. For example, the Hawaii Physical Therapy Practice Act specifies that physical therapists not be allowed to penetrate the skin⁸. The definition of the practice of physical

The introduction of TrP-DN to American physical therapists shares many similarities with the introduction of manual therapy. When during the 1960s, Paris expressed his interest in manual therapy, he experienced considerable resistance, not only from academia but also from employers, the American Physical Therapy Association (APTA), and even from Dr. Janet Travell¹⁰. Paris reported that in 1966, Dr. Travell blocked his membership in the North American Academy of Manipulative Medicine, an organization she had founded with Dr. John Mennell, on the grounds that “manipulation is a diagnostic and therapeutic tool to be reserved for physicians only”¹⁰. Similarly, the 2002 rejection of TrP-DN by the Tennessee

Board of Occupational and Physical Therapy was in part based on the testimony of an academic expert witness⁷. In 2006, the APTA omitted an educational activity about physical therapy and dry needling from the tentative agenda of its annual conference, while the Royal Dutch Physical Therapy Association upheld the opinion that TrP-DN should not fall within the scope of physical therapy practice. In October 2006, the Virginia Board of Physical Therapy heard arguments from a physician organization against physical therapists using TrP-DN. To the contrary, physical therapists in South Africa are allowed to perform botulinum toxin injections in the management of persons with MTrPs. Within the context of autonomous physical therapy practice, TrP-DN does seem to fit the current practice model in spite of the reservations of other disciplines and some physical therapy professional organizations.

In order to practice TrP-DN, physical therapists need to be able to demonstrate competence or adequate training in the technique and that they practice in a jurisdiction where TrP-DN is considered within the scope of physical therapy practice. Many country and state physical therapy statutes address the issue of competence by including language such as this: “physical therapists shall not perform any procedure or function which they are by virtue of education or training not competent to perform”⁵. Obviously, physical therapists employing TrP-DN must have excellent knowledge of anatomy and be very familiar with its indications, contraindications, and precautions. This article provides an overview of TrP-DN in the context of contemporary physical therapy practice.

Dry Needling Techniques

Because dry needling techniques emerged empirically, different schools and conceptual models have been developed, including the radiculopathy model, the MTrP model, and the spinal segmental sensitization model^{1,5,11-13}. In addition, there are other less common needling approaches, such as neural acupuncture and automated or electrical twitch-obtaining intramuscular stimulation¹⁴⁻²². In neural acupuncture, acupuncture points are infiltrated with lidocaine for the treatment of myofascial

pain^{14,15}. A medical specialist, Dr. Jennifer Chu, developed electrical twitch-obtaining intramuscular stimulation; this approach combines aspects of the radiculopathy model with the trigger point model¹⁶⁻²³.

The radiculopathy model will be reviewed briefly, while the MTrP model will be discussed in detail. The spinal segmental sensitization model and neural acupuncture are not included in this article due to their exclusive use of injections, which are outside the scope of physical therapy practice in most jurisdictions^{5,12}.

Another classification is based on the depth of the needle insertion and distinguishes superficial dry needling (SDN) and deep dry needling (DDN)^{24,25}. Examples of SDN include Baldry’s SDN approach and Fu’s Subcutaneous Needling, which fall within the trigger point (TrP) model^{24,26-29}. The needling approach advocated by the radiculopathy model is a form of DDN. The TrP model includes both superficial dry needling (TrP-SDN) and deep dry needling (TrP-DDN) (Table 2).

Radiculopathy Model

The radiculopathy model is based on empirical observations by Canadian medical physician Dr. Chan Gunn, who was one of the early pioneers of dry needling. A review of TrP-DN would be incomplete without including a brief summary of Gunn’s needling approach, although the radiculopathy model no longer includes TrP-DN¹³. Initially, Gunn incorporated MTrPs in his thinking, but fairly soon he moved away from MTrPs and further developed and defined his DDN approach, referred to as intramuscular stimulation or IMS¹⁸⁻²⁰. Gunn introduced the term “IMS” to distinguish his approach from other needling and injection approaches, but the term is frequently used to describe any dry needling technique³⁰. According to Gunn’s web site, “hundreds of doctors and physiotherapists from all around the world” have been trained in the technique³¹. The web site also mentions that “some practitioners employ altered versions of IMS not endorsed by Professor Gunn or the medical community”³¹.

The Gunn IMS technique is based on the premise that myofascial pain syndrome (MPS) is always the result of peripheral neuropathy or radiculopathy, defined by Gunn

Table 2: Models of Needling.

	TrP Model	Radiculopathy Model	Spinal Segmental Sensitization Model
Superficial DN	Yes	No	No
Deep DN	Yes	Yes	No
Injection therapy	Yes	No	Yes

TrP- trigger point; DN- dry needling

as “a condition that causes disordered function in the peripheral nerve”³⁰. In Gunn’s view, shortening of the paraspinal muscles, particularly the multifidi muscles, leads to disc compression, narrowing of the intervertebral foramina, or direct pressure on the nerve root, which subsequently would result in peripheral neuropathy and compression of supersensitive nociceptors and pain.

The radiculopathy model is based on Cannon and Rosenblueth’s Law of Denervation, which maintains that the function and integrity of innervated structures is dependent upon the free flow of nerve impulses³². When the flow of nerve impulses is restricted, all innervated structures, including skeletal muscle, smooth muscle, spinal neurons, sympathetic ganglia, adrenal glands, sweat cells, and brain cells become atrophic, highly irritable, and supersensitive³⁰. Gunn suggested that many common diagnoses, such as Achilles tendonitis, lateral epicondylitis, frozen shoulder, chondromalacia patellae, headaches, plantar fasciitis, temporomandibular joint dysfunction, myofascial pain syndrome (MPS), and others, might in fact be the result of neuropathy³⁰. Chu has adapted Gunn’s radiculopathy model in that she has recognized that MTrPs are frequently the result of cervical or lumbar radiculopathy^{16,18,22,23}.

Gunn¹³ maintained that the most effective treatment points are always located close to the muscle motor points or musculotendinous junctions, which are distributed in a segmental or myotomal fashion in muscles supplied by the primary anterior and posterior rami. Because the primary posterior rami are segmentally linked to the paraspinal muscles, including the multifidi, and the primary anterior rami with the remainder of the myotome, the treatment must always include the paraspinal muscles as well as the more peripheral muscles. Gunn found that the tender points usually coincided with painful palpable muscle bands in shortened and contracted muscles. He suggested that nerve root dysfunction is particularly due to spondylotic changes. According to Gunn, relatively minor injuries would not result in severe pain that continues beyond a “reasonable” period, unless the nerve root was already in a sensitized state prior to the injury¹³.

Gunn’s assessment technique is based on the evaluation of specific motor, sensory, and trophic changes. The main objective of the initial examination is to find characteristic signs of neuropathic pain and to determine which segmental levels are involved in a given individual. The examination is rather limited and does not include standard medical and physical therapy evaluation techniques, including common orthopaedic or neurological tests, laboratory tests, electromyographic or nerve conduction tests, or radiologic tests, such as MRI, CT, or even X-rays. Motor changes are assessed through a few functional motor tests and through systematic palpation of the skin and muscle bands along the spine and in those peripheral muscles that belong to the involved

myotomes. Gunn emphasized evaluating the paraspinal regions for trophic changes, which may include orange peel skin (*peau d’orange*), dermatomal hair loss, and differences in skin folds and moisture levels (dry versus moist skin)¹³.

Although Gunn et al completed one of the first dry needling outcome studies, which demonstrated that IMS can be an effective treatment option, there are no studies that substantiate the theoretical basis of the radiculopathy model or of the IMS needling interventions^{5,33}. Although Gunn emphasized the importance of being able to objectively verify the findings of neuropathic pain³⁴, there also are no interrater reliability studies and no studies that support the idea that the described findings are indeed indicative of neuropathic pain⁵. For example, there is no scientific evidence that an MTrP is always a manifestation of radiculopathy resulting from trauma to a nerve, even though it is conceivable that one possible cause of the formation of MTrPs is indeed nerve damage or dysfunction³⁵. Interestingly, Gunn did not regard his model as a hypothesis but rather considered it a mere “description of clinical findings that can be found by anyone who examines a patient for radiculopathy”³⁴. However, without scientific validation, the radiculopathy model was never developed beyond the hypothetical stage. Gunn’s conclusion that relative minor injuries would not result in chronic pain without prior sensitization of the nerve root is inconsistent with many current neurophysiological studies that confirm that persistent and even relatively brief nociceptive input can result in pain-producing plastic dorsal horn changes³⁶⁻⁴².

Trigger Point Model

Clinicians practicing from the perspective of the trigger point model specifically target MTrPs. The clinical manifestation of MTrPs is referred to as MPS and is defined as the “sensory, motor, and autonomic symptoms caused by MTrPs”¹. Myofascial trigger points may consist of multiple contraction knots, which are thought to be due to an excessive release of acetylcholine (ACh) from select motor endplates, and can be divided into active and latent MTrPs^{1,43,44}. The release of ACh has been associated with endplate noise, a characteristic electromyographic discharge at MTrP sites, consisting of low-amplitude discharges in the order of 10-50 μ V and intermittent high-amplitude discharges (up to 500 μ V) in painful MTrPs⁴⁵⁻⁴⁷. Active MTrPs can spontaneously trigger local pain in the vicinity of the MTrP, or they can refer pain or paraesthesiae to more distant locations. They cause muscle weakness, range of motion restrictions, and several autonomic phenomena. Latent MTrPs do not trigger local or referred pain without being stimulated, but they may alter muscle activation patterns and contribute to limited range of motion⁴⁸. Simons, Travell, and Simons documented the referred pain patterns of MTrPs in 147 muscles¹, while Dejung et al⁴⁹ published slightly different

referred pain patterns based on their empirical findings. Several case reports and research studies have examined referred pain patterns from MTrPs⁵⁰⁻⁷¹. Following Kellgren's early studies of muscle referred pain patterns, which contributed to Travell's interest in musculoskeletal pain, many studies have been published on muscle referred pain without specifically mentioning MTrPs. This brings up the question as to whether referred pain patterns are characteristic of each muscle or can be established for specific MTrPs⁷²⁻⁸⁴. MTrPs are identified manually by using either a flat palpation—for example with palpation of the infraspinatus, the masseter, temporalis, and lower trapezius—or a pincer-type palpation technique, for example with palpation of the sternocleidomastoid, the upper trapezius, and the gastrocnemius¹.

The interrater reliability of identifying MTrPs has been studied by several researchers and was established in a small number of studies⁸⁵⁻⁸⁷. Gerwin et al⁸⁶ concluded that training is essential to reliably identify MTrPs, while Sciotti et al⁸⁷ confirmed the clinically adequate interrater reliability of locating latent MTrPs in the trapezius muscle. In an unpublished study by Bron et al, three blinded observers were able to reach acceptable agreement on the presence or absence of TrPs in the shoulder region. The authors concluded that palpation of MTrPs is reliable and might be a useful tool in the diagnosis of myofascial pain in patients with non-traumatic shoulder pain⁸⁵. A recent study of the intrarater reliability of identifying MTrPs in patients with rotator cuff tendonitis reached perfect agreement ($\kappa=1.0$) for the taut band, spot tenderness, jump sign, and pain recognition, which the author attributed to methodological rigor⁸⁸. However, considering the small sample size and limited variation in the subjects used in this study, it might have been inappropriate to establish the intrarater reliability using the kappa statistic⁸⁹.

Diagnostically, TrP-DDN can assist in differentiating between pain that originates from a joint, an entrapped nerve, or a muscle. Mechanical stimulation or deformation of a sensitized MTrP can reproduce the patient's pain complaint due to MTrPs when the DDN technique is used^{90,91}. In most instances, it is relatively easy to trigger the patient's referred pain pattern with TrP-DDN compared to manual techniques. When the pain originates in deeper structures, such as the multifidi, supraspinatus, psoas, or soleus muscles, manual techniques may be inadequate and may not provide sufficient diagnostic information. In addition, myofascial pain may mimic radicular pain syndromes⁵⁵. For example, pain resembling a C8 or L5 radiculopathy may be due to MTrPs in the teres minor muscle or the gluteus minimus muscle, respectively. If needling an MTrP elicits the patient's familiar referred pain down the involved extremity, the cause of at least part of the pain is likely myofascial in nature and not (solely) neurogenic^{55,92}. The ability to reproduce the patient's pain has great diagnostic value and can assist

in the differential diagnostic process.

One of the unique features of MTrPs is the phenomenon of the so-called local twitch response (LTR), which is an involuntary spinal cord reflex contraction of the muscle fibers in a taut band following palpation or needling of the band or MTrP^{93,94}. Local twitch responses can be elicited manually by snapping taut bands that harbor MTrPs. When using invasive procedures like TrP-DDN or injections therapeutically, eliciting LTRs is essential⁹⁵. Not only is the treatment outcome much improved, but LTRs also confirm that the needle was indeed placed into a taut band, which is particularly important when needling MTrPs close to peripheral nerves or viscera, such as the lungs²⁵.

Intramuscular Electrical Stimulation

One of the advantages of TrP-DN is that physical therapists can easily combine the needling procedures with electrical stimulation. Several terms have been used to describe electrical stimulation through acupuncture needles, including percutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (PENS), percutaneous electrical muscle stimulation, percutaneous neuromodulation therapy, and electroacupuncture (EA)⁹⁶⁻⁹⁹. Mayoral del Moral suggested using the term "intramuscular electrical stimulation" (IES) when applied within the context of physical therapy practice²⁵. White et al⁹⁹ demonstrated that the best results were achieved when the needles were placed within the dermatomes corresponding to the local pathology. Using the needles as electrodes offers many advantages over more traditional transcutaneous nerve stimulation (TENS). Not only is the resistance of the skin to electrical currents eliminated, but several studies have also demonstrated that PENS provided more pain relief and improved functionality than TENS, for example in patients with sciatica and chronic low back pain^{100,101}. Animal experiments have shown that EA can modulate the expression of N-methyl-D-aspartate in primary sensory neurons with involvement of glutamate receptors^{102,103}.

Not much is known about the optimal treatment parameters for IES. While EA units offer many options for amplitude and frequencies, there is little research linking these options to the management of pain. Frequencies between 2 and 4 Hz with high intensity are commonly used in nociceptive pain conditions and may result in the release of endorphins and enkephalins. For neuropathic pain, it is recommended to use currents with a frequency between 80 and 100 Hz, which are thought to affect release of dynorphin, gamma-aminobutyric acid, and galanin¹⁰⁴. However, a study examining the effects of high- and low-frequency EA in pain after abdominal surgery found that both frequencies significantly reduced the pain¹⁰⁵. Another study concluded that high-intensity levels were more effective than low-intensity stimulation⁹⁷. In IES, the negative electrode is usually placed in the

MTrP and the positive in the taut band but outside the MTrP. Elorriaga recommended inserting two converging electrodes in the MTrP, while Mayoral del Moral et al suggested inserting the electrodes at both sides of an MTrP inside the taut band^{106,107}. Chu developed an electrical stimulation modality that automatically elicits LTRs, which she referred to as “electrical twitch-obtaining intramuscular stimulation” or ETOIMS^{18,21,22}. The technique can also be simulated using standard EMG equipment²³.

Superficial Dry Needling

In the early 1980s, Baldry was concerned about the risk of causing a pneumothorax when treating a patient with an MTrP in the anterior scalene muscle. Rather than using TrP-DDN, he inserted the needle superficially into the tissue immediately overlying the MTrP. After leaving the needle in for a short time, the exquisite tenderness at the MTrP was abolished and the spontaneous pain was alleviated²⁴. Based on this experience, Baldry expanded the practice of SDN and applied the technique to MTrPs throughout the body with good empirical results, even in the treatment of MTrPs in deeper muscles²⁴. He recommended inserting an acupuncture needle into the tissues overlying each MTrP to a depth of 5-10 mm for 30 seconds²⁴. Because the needle does not necessarily reach the MTrP, LTRs are not expected. Nevertheless, the patient commonly experiences an immediate decrease in sensitivity following the needling procedure. If there is any residual pain, the needle is reinserted for another 2-3 minutes. When using the TrP-SDN technique, Baldry commented that the amount of needle stimulation depends on an individual’s responsiveness. In so-called average responders, Baldry recommended leaving the needle *in situ* for 30-60 seconds. In weak responders, the needle may be left for up to 2 or 3 minutes. There is some evidence from animal studies that this responsiveness is at least partially genetically determined. Mice deficient in endogenous opioid peptide receptors did not respond well to needle-evoked nerve stimulation¹⁰⁸. Baldry suggested that weak responders might have excessive amounts of endogenous opioid peptide antagonists²⁴. Baldry preferred TrP-SDN over TrP-DDN, but indicated that in cases where MTrPs were secondary to the development of radiculopathy, he would consider using TrP-DDN²⁴.

Another SDN technique was developed in 1996 in China^{27,29}. Initially, Fu’s subcutaneous needling (FSN), also referred to as “floating needling,” was developed to treat various pain problems without consideration of MTrPs, such as chronic low back pain, fibromyalgia, osteoarthritis, chronic pelvic pain, post-herpetic pain, peripheral neuropathy, and complex regional pain syndrome²⁹. In a recent paper, Fu et al²⁸ applied their needling technique to MTrPs and examined whether the direction of the needle is relevant in that treatment. The needle



Fig. 1: Trigger point dry needling of the trapezius muscle



Fig. 2: Trigger point dry needling of the thoracic multifidi muscles using a Japanese needle plunger



Fig. 3: Trigger point dry needling of the gluteus medius muscle

was either directed across muscle fibers or along muscle fibers toward an MTrP. The authors concluded that FSN had an immediate effect on inactivating MTrPs in the neck, irrespective of the direction of the needle²⁸.

The FSN needle consists of three parts: a 31 mm beveled-tip needle with a 1 mm diameter, a soft tube similar to an intravenous catheter, and a cap. The needle is directed toward a painful spot or MTrP at an angle of 20–30° with the skin but does not penetrate muscle tissue. The technique acts solely in the subcutaneous layers. The needle is advanced parallel to the skin surface until the soft tube is also under the skin. At that time, the needle is moved smoothly and rhythmically from side to side for at least two minutes, after which the needle is removed from the soft tube, which stays in place. Patients go home with the soft tube still inserted under the skin. The soft tube can move slightly underneath the skin because of patients' movements and is thought to continue to stimulate subcutaneous connective tissues while in place²⁷⁻²⁹. The soft tube is kept under the skin for a few hours for acute injuries and for at least 24 hours for chronic pain problems, after which it is removed^{27,29}. According to Fu et al, the technique has no adverse or side effects and usually induces an immediate reduction of pain. The needle technique should not be painful as subcutaneous layers are poorly innervated²⁷⁻²⁹. Because FSN was only recently introduced to the Western world, the technique has not been used much outside of China and there are no other clinical outcome studies.

Effectiveness of Trigger Point Dry Needling

The effectiveness of TrP-DN is, to some extent, dependent upon the ability to accurately palpate MTrPs. Without the required excellent palpation skills, TrP-DN can be a rather random process. In addition to being able to palpate MTrPs before using TrP-DN, it is equally important that clinicians develop the skills to accurately needle the MTrPs identified with palpation. Physical therapists need to learn how to visualize a 3-dimensional image of the exact location and depth of the MTrP within the muscle. The level of kinaesthetic perception needed to perform TrP-DN safely and accurately is a learned skill. Noë¹⁰⁹ maintained that such perception is constituted in part by sensori-motor knowledge but also depends on having sufficient knowledge of the subject. The ability to perceive the end of the needle and the pathways the needle takes inside the patient's body is a developed skill on the part of the physical therapist, a process Noë referred to as an "enactive" approach to perception¹⁰⁹. A high degree of kinaesthetic perception allows a physical therapist to use the needle as a palpation tool and to appreciate changes in the firmness of those tissues pierced by the needle²⁵. For example, a trained clinician will appreciate the difference between needling the skin, the subcutaneous tissue, the anterior lamina of the rectus abdominis muscle, the muscle itself, a taut band in the muscle,

the posterior lamina, and the peritoneal cavity, thereby increasing the accuracy of the needling procedure and reducing the risks associated with it²⁵.

Considering the invasive nature of TrP-DN, it is very difficult to develop and implement double blind and randomized placebo-controlled studies¹¹⁰⁻¹¹³. When researchers use minimal, sham, superficial, or placebo needling, there is growing evidence that even light touch of the skin can stimulate mechanoreceptors coupled to slow conducting afferents, which causes activity in the insular region and subsequent increased feelings of well-being and decreased feelings of unpleasantness¹¹⁴⁻¹¹⁷. However, several case reports, review articles, and research studies have attested to the effectiveness of TrP-DN. Ingber¹¹⁸ documented the successful TrP-DN treatment of the subscapularis muscles in three patients diagnosed with chronic shoulder impingement syndrome. One patient required a total of 6 TrP-DN treatments out of a total of 11 visits. The treatments were combined with a progressive therapeutic stretching program and later with muscle strengthening. The second patient had a 1-year history of shoulder impingement. He required 11 treatments with TrP-DN before returning to playing racquetball. Both patients had failed previous physical therapy treatments, which included ice, electrical stimulation, ultrasound, massage, shoulder limbering, isotonic strengthening, and the use of an upper body ergometer. The third patient was a competitive racquetball player with a 5-month history of sharp anterior shoulder pain, who was unable to play in spite of medical treatment. After one session of TrP-DN, he was able to compete in a racquetball tournament. Throughout the tournament, he required twice weekly TrP-DN treatments. Following the tournament, he had just a few follow-up visits. The patient reported a return of full power on serves and forehand strokes¹¹⁸.

In 1979, Czech medical physician Karel Lewit published one of the first clinical reports on the subject¹¹⁹. Lewit confirmed the findings of Steinbrocker that the effects of needling were primarily due to mechanical stimulation of MTrPs. As early as 1944, Steinbrocker had commented on the effects of needle insertions on musculoskeletal pain without using an injectable¹²⁰. Lewit found that dry needling of MTrPs caused immediate analgesia in nearly 87% of needle sites. In over 31% of cases, the analgesia was permanent, while 20% had several months of pain relief, 22% several weeks, and 11% several days; 14% had no relief at all¹¹⁹.

Cummings¹²¹ reported a case of a 28-year-old female with a history of a left axillary vein thrombosis, a subsequent venoplasty, and a trans-axillary resection of the left first rib. The patient developed chronic chest pain with left arm, forearm, and hand pain. The symptoms were initially attributed to traction on the intercostobrachial nerve, rotator cuff atrophy, Raynaud's phenomenon, and possible scarring around the C8/T1 nerve root. After 7

months of chronic pain, the patient consulted with a clinician familiar with MTrPs, who identified an MTrP in the left pectoralis major muscle. She was treated with only 2 gentle and brief needle insertions of 10 seconds each, combined with a home stretching program. After 2 weeks, she had few remaining symptoms. One additional treatment with two TrP-DN insertions resolved the symptoms within two hours¹²¹. In another case report, Cummings described a 33-year-old woman with an 8-year history of knee pain, who was successfully treated with two sessions of EA directed at an MTrP in the ilopsoas muscle⁵⁴.

Weiner and Schmäder⁶⁴ described the successful use of TrP-DN in the treatment of five persons with post-herpetic neuralgia. For example, a 71-year-old female with post-herpetic neuralgia for 18 months required only 3 TrP-DN sessions during which LTRs were elicited. Previous treatments included gabapentin, oxycodone, acetaminophen, chiropractic manipulations, and epidural corticosteroids. Another patient was treated with a combination of cervical percutaneous electrical nerve stimulation and TrP-DN for 4 sessions resulting in a dramatic decrease in pain. The authors suggested that prospective studies of the correlation between MTrPs and post-herpetic neuralgia are desperately needed⁶⁴. Only one previous report has described the relevance of MTrPs in the symptomatology of post-herpetic neuralgia⁵².

A recent study comparing the effects of therapeutic and placebo dry needling on hip straight leg raising, internal rotation, muscle pain, and muscle tightness in subjects recruited from Australian Rules football clubs found no differences in range of motion and reported pain between the two groups¹²². Unfortunately, the researchers attempted to treat MTrPs in the gluteal muscles of presumably well-trained athletes with a 25 mm needle, which most likely is too short to reach deeper points in conditioned individuals. In other words, both interventions may have been placebos, as direct needling of pertinent MTrPs may not have occurred. At the same time, there are many other muscles that may need to be treated before changes in hip range of motion would be measurable, including the piriformis and other hip rotators, the abductor magnus, and the hamstrings. Hamstring pain is frequently due to MTrPs in the hamstrings or the adductor magnus and not from gluteal MTrPs¹²³.

Another Australian study considered the effects of latent MTrPs on muscle activation patterns in the shoulder region⁴⁸. During the first phase of the study, subjects with latent MTrPs were found to have abnormal muscle activation patterns compared to healthy control subjects. The time of onset of muscle activity of the upper and lower trapezius, the serratus anterior, the infraspinatus, and middle deltoid muscles was determined using surface electromyography. During the second phase, the subjects with latent MTrPs and abnormal muscle activation patterns

were randomly assigned to either a treatment group or a placebo group. Subjects in the treatment group were treated with TrP-DN and passive stretching. Subjects in the placebo group received sham ultrasound. After TrP-DN and stretching, the muscle activation patterns of the treated subjects had returned to normal. Subjects in the placebo treatment group did not change after the sham treatment. This study confirmed that latent MTrPs could significantly impair muscle activation patterns⁴⁸. The authors also established that TrP-DN combined with muscle stretches facilitated an immediate return to normal muscle activation patterns, which may be especially relevant when optimal movement efficiency is required in sports participation, musical performance, and other demanding motor tasks, for example.

A 2005 Cochrane review aimed to “assess the effects of acupuncture for the treatment of non-specific low back pain and dry needling for myofascial pain syndrome in the low back region”¹²⁴. Cochrane reviews are highly regarded, rigorous reviews of the available evidence of clinical treatments. The reviews become part of the Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, which is published quarterly as part of the Cochrane Library. For this 2005 review, the researchers reviewed the CENTRAL, MEDLINE, and EMBASE databases, the Chinese Cochrane Centre database of clinical trials, and Japanese databases from 1996 to February 2003. Only randomized controlled trials were included in this review using the strict guidelines from the Cochrane Collaboration. Although the authors did not find many high-quality studies, they concluded that dry needling might be a useful adjunct to other therapies for chronic low back pain. They did call for more and better quality studies with greater sample sizes¹²⁴.

Recent research by Shah et al¹²⁵ at the US National Institutes of Health underscored the importance of eliciting LTRs with TrP-DDN. Those authors sampled and measured the *in vitro* biochemical milieu within normal muscle and at active and latent MTrPs in near real-time at the sub-nanogram level of concentration; they found significantly increased concentrations of bradykin, calcitonin-gene-related-peptide, substance P, tumor necrosis factor-, interleukin-1, serotonin, and norepinephrine in the immediate milieu of active MTrPs only¹²⁵. After the researchers elicited an LTR at the active and latent MTrPs, the concentrations of the chemicals in the immediate vicinity of active MTrPs spontaneously reduced to normal levels. Not only did this study suggest that LTRs might normalize the chemical environment near active MTrPs and reduce the concentration of several nociceptive substances, it also confirmed that the clinical distinction between latent and active MTrPs was associated with a highly significant objective difference in the nociceptive milieu¹²⁵. Another study confirmed the importance of eliciting LTRs with TrP-DDN¹²⁶. In a rabbit study of the effect of LTRs on endplate noise, Chen et al found that eliciting LTRs actually diminished the spontaneous

electrical activity associated with MTrPs^{44,126}.

Dilorenzo et al¹²⁷ conducted a prospective, open-label, randomized study on the effect of DDN on shoulder pain in 101 patients with a cerebrovascular accident. The patients were randomly assigned to a standard rehabilitation-only group or to a standard rehabilitation and DDN group. Subjects in the DDN group received 4 DDN treatments at 5- to 7-day intervals into MTrPs in the supraspinatus, infraspinatus, upper and lower trapezius, levator scapulae, rhomboids, teres major, subscapularis, latissimus dorsi, triceps, pectoralis, and deltoid muscles. Compared to subjects in the rehabilitation-only group, subjects in the DDN group reported significantly less pain during sleep and during physical therapy treatments, had more restful sleep, and experienced significantly less frequent and less intense pain. They reduced their use of analgesic medications and demonstrated increased compliance with the rehabilitation program. The authors concluded that DDN might provide a new therapeutic approach to managing shoulder pain in patients with hemiparesis.

Several studies have compared SDN to DDN¹²⁸⁻¹³⁰. Ceccherelli et al¹²⁸ randomly assigned 42 patients with lumbar myofascial pain into two groups. The first group was treated with a shallow needle technique to a depth of 2 mm at 5 predetermined traditional acupuncture points, while the second group received intramuscular needling at 4 arbitrarily selected MTrPs. The DDN technique resulted in significantly better analgesia than the SDN technique¹²⁸. Another randomized controlled clinical study compared the efficacy of standard acupuncture, SDN, and DDN in the treatment of elderly patients with chronic low back pain¹²⁹. The standard acupuncture group received treatment at traditional acupuncture points with the needles inserted into the muscle to a depth of 20 mm. The points were stimulated with alternate pushing and pulling of the needle until the subjects felt dull pain or the “de qi” acupuncture sensation, after which the needle was left in place for 10 minutes. This “de qi” sensation is a desired sensation in traditional acupuncture. The TrP-DN groups received treatment at MTrPs in the quadratus lumborum, iliopsoas, piriformis, and gluteus maximus muscles, among others. In the SDN group, the needles were inserted into the skin over MTrPs to a depth of approximately 3 mm. Once a subject reported dull pain or the “de qi” sensation mentioned above, the needle was kept in place for 10 more minutes. In the DDN group, the needle was advanced an additional 20 mm. Using the same alternate pushing and pulling needle technique, the needle was again kept in place for an additional 10 minutes once an LTR was elicited. The authors concluded that DDN might be more effective in the treatment of low back pain in elderly patients than either standard acupuncture or SDN¹²⁹. While the authors of both studies concluded that DDN might be the most effective treatment option, it is important to

realize that the protocols used in these studies for both SDN and DDN do not reflect common clinical practice for either needling technique. For example, needles are rarely kept in place for 10 minutes. Also, Baldry²⁴ did not recommend inserting the needle to only a 2 mm depth. In the second study, only one LTR was required in the DDN group. In clinical practice, multiple LTRs are elicited per MTrP⁹⁵. The second study had a relatively small sample size of only 9 subjects per group, which may make any definitive conclusions somewhat premature. Neither study considered Baldry's notion of differentiating the technique based on the response pattern of the patient.

Edwards and Knowles¹³¹ conducted a randomized prospective study of superficial dry needling combined with active stretching. Subjects received either SDN combined with active stretching exercises, stretching exercises alone, or no treatments. After 3 weeks, there were no statistically significant differences between the three groups. However, after another 3 weeks, the SDN group had significantly less pain compared to the no-intervention group and significantly higher pressure threshold measures compared to the active stretching-only group. This study did support the SDN technique, even though not all outcome measures were blinded¹³¹. Macdonald et al¹³² demonstrated the efficacy of SDN in a randomized study of subjects with chronic lumbar MTrPs. The active group received SDN with the needles inserted to a depth of 4 mm over the MTrPs. The control group received sham electrotherapy. The researchers concluded that SDN was significantly better than this placebo¹³². Unfortunately, these studies did not follow Baldry's procedures either. However, the techniques are similar with some variations in duration and depth of insertion. Lastly, a study comparing superficial versus deep acupuncture found no statistical difference in reduction of idiopathic anterior knee pain between the two methods. Pain measurements decreased significantly for both groups¹³³.

Mechanisms of Trigger Point Dry Needling

In spite of a growing body of literature exploring the etiology and pathophysiology of MTrPs, the exact mechanisms of TrP-DN remain elusive⁵. The finding that LTRs can normalize the chemical environment of active MTrPs and diminish endplate noise associated with MTrPs in rabbits nearly instantaneously is critical in understanding the effects of TrP-DN, but neither has been explored in depth^{125,126}. Simons, Travell, and Simons¹ indicated that the therapeutic effect of TrP-DDN was mechanical disruption of the MTrP contraction knots. Since MTrPs are associated with dysfunctional motor endplates, it is conceivable that TrP-DDN damages or even destroys motor endplates and causes distal axon denervations when the needle hits an MTrP. There is some evidence that this could trigger specific changes in the

endplate cholinesterase and ACh receptors as part of the normal muscle regeneration process^{134,135}. Needles used in TrP-DDN have a diameter of approximately 160–300 μm , which would cause very small focal lesions without any significant risk of scar tissue formation. In comparison, the diameter of human muscle fibers ranges from 10–100 μm . Muscle regeneration involves satellite cells, which repair or replace damaged myofibers¹³⁶. Satellite cells may migrate from other areas in the muscle and are activated following actual muscle damage but also after light pressure as used in manual trigger point therapy^{134,137}. Muscle regeneration following TrP-DN is expected to be complete in approximately 7–10 days¹³⁸. It is not known whether repeated needling during the regeneration phase in the same area of a muscle can exhaust the regenerative capacity of muscle tissue, giving rise to an increase in connective tissue and impairing the reinnervation process¹³⁸. An accurately placed needle may also provide a localized stretch to the contracted cytoskeletal structures, which would allow the involved sarcomeres to resume their resting length by reducing the degree of overlap between actin and myosin filaments⁵. To provide ultra-localized stretch to the contracted structures, it may be beneficial to rotate the needle¹³⁹. In addition, the mechanical pressure exerted via the needle may electrically polarize muscle and connective tissues. A physical characteristic of collagen fibers is their intrinsic piezoelectricity, a property that allows tissues to transform mechanical stress into electrical activity necessary for tissue remodeling¹⁴⁰.

TrP-SDN involves a very light stimulus aimed at minimizing pain responses²⁴. Based on their studies on rats and mice, Swedish researchers have suggested that the reduction of pain after TrP-SDN may partially be due to the central release of oxytocin^{141,142}. Baldry²⁴ suggested that with TrP-SDN, the acupuncture needle stimulates A δ sensory nerve afferents, an assumption based primarily on the work of Bowsher, who maintained that sticking a needle into the skin is always a noxious stimulus¹⁴³. According to Baldry, A δ nerve fibers are stimulated for as long as 72 hours after needle insertion. Prolonged stimulation of the sensory afferent A δ nerve fibers may activate enkephalinergic, serotonergic, and noradrenergic inhibitory systems, which would imply that TrP-SDN could cause opioid-mediated pain suppression¹⁴⁴. However, other than in so-called “strong responders,” TrP-SDN is usually painless even when applied over painful MTrPs. It is, therefore, questionable that the effects of TrP-SDN can be explained through their alleged stimulation of A δ fibers. As Millan has summarized in his comprehensive review¹⁴⁵, A δ fibers are divided into two types: Type I A δ fibers are high-threshold, rapidly conducting mechanoreceptors and are activated only by mechanical stimuli in the noxious range while type II A δ fibers are more responsive to thermal stimuli. Superficial trigger point

dry needling as advocated by Baldry does not seem to be able to stimulate either type of A δ fiber, unless the patient experiences the needling as a noxious event. As an alternative to invasive procedures, several quartz stimulators have been developed. When pressed against the skin, they cause a small painful spark, similar to an electric barbecue igniter. While these devices are likely to cause A δ fiber activation, and at least theoretically could be used as an alternative to TrP-SDN, the US Food and Drug Administration has not approved their use¹⁴⁶.

Skin and muscle needle stimulation of A δ and C afferent fibers in anaesthetized rats was capable of producing an increase in cortical cerebral blood flow, which was thought to be due to a reflex response of the afferent pathway, including group II and IV afferent nerves, and the efferent intrinsic nerve pathway, including cholinergic vasodilators¹⁴⁷. Superficial needling of certain acupuncture points in patients with chronic pain showed similar changes in cerebral blood flow¹⁴⁸. Takeshige et al¹⁴⁹ determined that direct needling into the gastrocnemius muscle and into the ipsilateral L5 paraspinal muscles of a guinea pig resulted in significant recovery of the circulation, after ischaemia was introduced to the muscle using tetanic muscle stimulation. They also confirmed that needling of acupuncture points and non-acupuncture points involved the descending pain inhibitory system, although the actual afferent pathways were distinctly different. Acupuncture analgesia involved the medial hypothalamic arcuate nucleus of the descending pain inhibitory system, while non-acupuncture analgesia involved the anterior part of the hypothalamic arcuate nucleus. In both kinds of needle stimulation, the posterior hypothalamic arcuate nucleus was involved^{149–151}. Several other acupuncture studies reported specific changes in various parts of the brain with needling of acupuncture points in comparison with control points^{152,153}. While traditional acupuncturists have maintained that acupuncture points have unique clinical effects, the findings of these studies are not specific necessarily to acupuncture but may be more related to the patients’ expectations¹⁵⁴. It is likely that any needling, including TrP-DN, causes similar changes, although there is no research to date that provides definitive evidence for the role of the descending pain inhibitory system when needling MTrPs¹⁵⁵.

Recent studies by Langevin et al^{139,156–161} are of particular interest even though they did not consider TrP-DN in their work. A common finding when using acupuncture needles is the phenomenon of the “needle grasp,” which has been attributed to muscle fibers contracting around the needle and holding the needle tightly in place¹⁶². During needle grasp, a clinician experiences an increased pulling at the needle and an increased resistance to further movement of the inserted needle. The studies by Langevin et al provided evidence that

needle grasp is not necessarily due to muscle contractions, but that subcutaneous tissues play a crucial role, especially when the needle is manipulated. Rotation of the needle did not only increase the force required to remove the needle from connective tissues, but it also created measurable changes in connective tissue architecture, due to winding of connective tissue and creation of a tight mechanical coupling between needle and tissue¹⁵⁹. Even small amounts of needle rotation caused pulling of collagen fibers towards the needle and initiated specific changes in fibroblasts further away from the needle. The fibroblasts responded by changing shape from a rounded appearance to a more spindle-like shape, which the researchers described as “large and sheet-like”^{139,156,157,159}. The transduction of the mechanical signal into fibroblasts can lead to a wide variety of cellular and extracellular events, including mechanoreceptor and nociceptor stimulation, changes in the actin cytoskeleton, cell contraction, variations in gene expression and extracellular matrix composition, and eventually to neuromodulation^{156,163,164}. Although the significance of these studies is not yet clear for TrP-DDN, it is likely that loose connective tissue plays an important role in TrP-SDN. Fu et al²⁸ attributed the effects of their subcutaneous needle approach to the manipulation of the needle and referred to this groundbreaking research done by Langevin et al. To increase the effectiveness of TrP-SDN, it may prove beneficial to rotate the needle rather than leave it in place without manipulation, especially in weak responders. Needle rotation may stimulate A δ fibers and activate enkephalinergic, serotonergic, and noradrenergic inhibitory systems^{24,143}. With TrP-DDN, rotation of a needle placed within an MTrP can facilitate the eliciting of typical referred pain patterns. More research is needed to determine the various aspects of the mechanisms of TrP-DN.

Trigger Point Dry Needling versus Injection Therapy

The term “dry needling” is used to differentiate this technique from MTrP injections. Myofascial trigger point injections are performed with a variety of injectables, such as procaine, lidocaine, and other local anesthetics; isotonic saline solutions; non-steroidal anti-inflammatories; corticosteroids; bee venom; botulinum toxin; and serotonin antagonists¹⁶⁵⁻¹⁷³. There is no evidence that MTrP injections with steroids are superior to lidocaine injections¹⁷⁴. In fact, intramuscular steroid injections may lead to muscle breakdown and degeneration^{175,176}. Travell preferred to use procaine^{173,177}. As procaine is difficult to obtain, it is now recommended to use a 0.25% lidocaine solution¹⁶⁹. Recent studies in Germany demonstrated that injections with tropisetron, which is a serotonin receptor antagonist, were superior to injections with local anesthetics^{171,178}. However, injectable serotonin receptor antagonists are not available in the

US. Myofascial trigger point injections are generally limited to medical practice only, although in some jurisdictions, such as South Africa and the State of Maryland, physical therapists are legally allowed to perform MTrP injections. Similarly, physical therapists in the UK are allowed to perform joint and soft tissue injections¹⁷⁹.

When comparing MTrP injection therapy with TrP-DN, many authors have suggested that “dry needling of the MTrP provides as much pain relief as injection of lidocaine but causes more post-injection soreness”¹⁸⁰. Usually, these authors reference a study by Hong⁹⁵ comparing lidocaine injections with TrP-DN; however, this author compared lidocaine injections with TrP-DN using a syringe and not an acupuncture needle. Recently, Kamanli et al¹⁸¹ updated the 1994 Hong study and compared the effects of lidocaine injections, botulinum toxin injections, and TrP-DN. In this study, the researchers also used a syringe and not an acupuncture needle, and they did not consider LTRs. In clinical practice, TrP-DN is typically performed with an acupuncture needle. There are no scientific studies that compare TrP-DN with acupuncture needles to MTrP injections with syringes. Based on published research studies, the assumption that TrP-DN would cause more post-needling soreness when compared to lidocaine injections cannot be substantiated when acupuncture needles are used.

Prior to the development of TrP-DN, MTrPs were treated primarily with injections, which explains why many clinical outcome studies are based on injection therapy^{67,165,166,169,174,176,182-188}. Several recent studies have confirmed that TrP-DN is equally effective as injection therapy, which may justify extrapolating the effects of injection therapy to TrP-DN^{25,95,176,181,189,190}. Cummings and White¹⁹⁰ concluded, “the nature of the injected substance makes no difference to the outcome, and wet needling is not therapeutically superior to dry needling”. A possible exception may be the use of botulinum toxin for those MTrPs that have not responded well to other interventions^{166,191-196}. A recent consensus paper specifically recommended that botulinum toxin should only be used after physical therapy and TrP-DN do not provide satisfactory relief¹⁹³. Botulinum toxin does not only prevent the release of ACh from cholinergic nerve endings, but there is also growing evidence that it inhibits the release of other selected neuropeptide transmitters from primary sensory neurons^{192,197,198}.

Many patients with chronic pain conditions frequently report having received previous MTrP injections. However, many also report that they never experienced LTRs, which raises the question as to how well trained and skilled physicians are in identifying and injecting MTrPs. A recent study revealed that MTrP injections were the second most common procedure used by Canadian pain anaesthesiologists after epidural steroid injections.

The study did not mention whether these anaesthesiologists had received any training in the identification and treatment of MTrPs with injections¹⁹⁹.

Trigger Point Dry Needling versus Acupuncture

Although some patients erroneously refer to TrP-DN as a form of acupuncture, TrP-DN did not originate as part of the practice of traditional Chinese acupuncture. When Gunn started exploring the use of acupuncture needles in the treatment of persons with chronic pain problems, he used the term “acupuncture” in his earlier papers. However, his thinking was grounded in neurology and segmental relationships, and he did not consider the more esoteric and metaphysical nature of traditional acupuncture²⁰⁰⁻²⁰². As reviewed previously, Gunn advocated needling motor points instead of traditional acupuncture points^{33,203,204}. Baldry has not advocated using the traditional system of Chinese acupuncture with energy pathways or meridians either and he has described them as “not of any practical importance”²⁴.

A few researchers have attempted to link the two needling approaches²⁰⁵⁻²¹¹. In an older study, Melzack et al^{206,211} concluded that there was a 71% overlap between MTrPs and acupuncture points based on their anatomical location. This study had a profound impact particularly on the development of the theoretical foundations of acupuncture. Many researchers and clinicians quoted this study by Melzack et al as evidence that acupuncture had an established physiologic basis and that acupuncture practice could be based on reported correlations with MTrPs²⁰⁵. More recently, Dorsher²⁰⁷ compared the anatomical and clinical relationships between 255 MTrPs described by Travell and Simons, and 386 acupuncture points described by the Shanghai College of Traditional Medicine and other acupuncture publications. He concluded that there is a significant overlap between MTrPs and acupuncture points and argued that “the strong correspondence between trigger point therapy and acupuncture should facilitate the increased integration of acupuncture into contemporary clinical pain management”. While these studies appear to provide evidence that TrP-DN could be considered a form of acupuncture, both studies assume that there are distinct anatomical locations of MTrPs and that acupuncture points have point specificity.

It is questionable whether MTrPs have distinct anatomical locations and whether these can be reliably used in comparisons with other points²¹². In part, the *Trigger Point Manuals* are to blame for suggesting that MTrPs have distinct locations^{1,213}. Simons, Travell, and Simons¹ described specific MTrPs in numbered sequences based on their “approximate order of appearance” and may have contributed to the widely accepted impression that indeed MTrPs do have distinct anatomical locations. There is no scientific research

that validates the notion that MTrPs have distinctive anatomical locations, other than their close proximity to motor endplate zones. Based on empirical evidence, the numbering sequences are inconsistent with clinical practice and do not reflect patients’ presentations. On the other hand, Dorsher’s observation²⁰⁷ that MTrP referred pain patterns have striking similarities with described courses of acupuncture meridians may be of interest. However, the same dilemma arises: Are referred pain patterns MTrP-specific or should they be described for muscles in general or perhaps for certain parts of muscles? Recent studies of experimentally induced referred pain have suggested that referred pain patterns might be characteristic of muscles rather than of individual MTrPs as Simons, Travell, and Simons suggested^{1,77,82,83,214}.

Birch²⁰⁵ re-assessed the Melzack et al 1977 paper and concluded that the study was based on several “poorly conceived aspects” and “questionable” assumptions. According to Birch, Melzack et al mistakenly assumed that all acupuncture points must exhibit pressure pain and that local pain indications of acupuncture points are sufficient to establish a correlation. He determined that only approximately 18% – 19% of acupuncture points examined in the 1977 study could possibly correlate with MTrPs, but he did suggest that there may be a relevant correlation between the so-called “Ah Shi” points and MTrPs. In traditional acupuncture, the Ah Shi points belong to one of three major classes of acupuncture points. There are 361 primary acupuncture points referred to as “channel” points. There are hundreds of secondary class acupuncture points, known as “extra” or “non-channel” points. The third class of acupuncture points is referred to as “Ah Shi” points. By definition, Ah Shi points must have pressure pain. They are used primarily for pain and spasm conditions. Melzack et al did not consider the Ah Shi points in their study but focused exclusively on the channel points and extra points. Hong²⁰⁹, as well as Audette and Binder²¹⁰, agreed that acupuncturists might well be treating MTrPs whenever they are treating Ah Shi points.

Whether TrP-DN could be considered a form of acupuncture depends partially on how acupuncture is defined. For example, the New Mexico Acupuncture and Oriental Medicine Practice Act defined acupuncture in a rather generic and broad fashion as “the use of needles inserted into and removed from the human body and the use of other devices, modalities, and procedures at specific locations on the body for the prevention, cure, or correction of any disease, illness, injury, pain, or other condition by controlling and regulating the flow and balance of energy and functioning of the person to restore and maintain health”²¹⁵. According to this definition of acupuncture, nearly all physical therapy and medical interventions could be considered a form of acupuncture, including TrP-DN, but also any other

modality or procedure. Physicians and nurses could be accused of practicing acupuncture as they “insert and remove needles.” From a physical therapy perspective, TrP-DN has no similarities with traditional acupuncture other than the tool. The objective of TrP-DN is not to control and regulate the flow and balance of energy and is not based on Eastern esoteric and metaphysical concepts. Trigger point dry needling and other physical therapy procedures are based on scientific neurophysiological and biomechanical principles that have no similarities with the hypothesized control and regulation of the flow and balance of energy^{5,24}. In fact, there is growing evidence against the notion that acupuncture points have unique and reproducible clinical effects¹⁵⁵. Three recent well-designed randomized controlled clinical trials with 302, 270, and 1007 patients, respectively, demonstrated that acupuncture and sham acupuncture treatments were more effective than no treatment at all, but there was no statistically significant difference between acupuncture and sham acupuncture²¹⁶⁻²¹⁸. As Campbell pointed out, acupuncture does not appear to have unique effects on the central nervous system, or

on pain and pain modulation, which implies that the discussion whether TrP-DN is a form of acupuncture becomes irrelevant¹⁵⁵.

Summary and Conclusions

Trigger point dry needling is a relatively new treatment modality used by physical therapists worldwide. The introduction of trigger point dry needling to American physical therapists has many similarities with the introduction of manual therapy during the 1960s. During the past few decades, much progress has been made toward the understanding of the nature of MTrPs and, thereby, of the various treatment options. Trigger point dry needling has been recognized by prestigious organizations such as the Cochrane Collaboration and is recommended as an option for the treatment of persons with chronic low back pain. Several clinical outcome studies have demonstrated the effectiveness of trigger point dry needling. However, questions remain regarding the mechanisms of needling procedures. Physical therapists are encouraged to explore using trigger point dry needling techniques in their practices. ■

REFERENCES

1. Simons DG, Travell JG, Simons LS. *Travell and Simons' Myofascial Pain and Dysfunction: The Trigger Point Manual*. Vol 1. 2nd ed. Baltimore, MD: Williams & Wilkins, 1999.
2. Uitspraken van het RTG Amsterdam [Dutch; Decisions regional medical disciplinary committee]. Available at: http://www.tuchtcollege-gezondheidszorg.nl/regionaal_files/amsterdam/uitspraken/00222F.ASD.htm. Accessed November 21, 2006.
3. Uitspraken van het CTG inzake fysiotherapeuten 2001.141 [Dutch; Decisions regional medical disciplinary committee with regard to physical therapists 2001.141]. Available at: <http://www.tuchtcollege-gezondheidszorg.nl/2002>. Accessed November 21, 2006.
4. Dommerholt J, Bron C, Franssen J. Myofasciale triggerpoints: Een aanvulling [Dutch; Myofascial trigger points: Additional remarks]. *Fysiopraxis* 2005;Nov:36-41.
5. Dommerholt J. Dry needling in orthopedic physical therapy practice. *Orthop Phys Ther Pract* 2004;16(3):15-20.
6. Williams T. *Colorado Physical Therapy Licensure Policies of the Director. Policy 3: Director's Policy on Intramuscular Stimulation*. Denver, CO: State of Colorado, Department of Regulatory Agencies, 2005.
7. Tennessee Board of Occupational & Physical Therapy. Committee of Physical Therapy Minutes. 2002.
8. Hawaii Revised Statutes. Chapter 461J; Physical Therapy Practice Act. Article §461J-2.5 Prohibited practices, 2006.
9. The 2006 Florida Statutes. Title XXXII: Regulation of Professions and Occupations. Chapter 486: Physical Therapy Practice. Article 486.021, 11, 2006.
10. Paris SV. In the best interests of the patient. *Phys Ther* 2006;86:1541-1553.
11. Fischer AA. New approaches in treatment of myofascial pain. In: Fischer AA, ed. *Myofascial Pain: Update in Diagnosis and Treatment*. Philadelphia, PA: W.B. Saunders, 1997: 153-170.
12. Fischer AA. Treatment of myofascial pain. *J Musculoskeletal Pain* 1999;7(1/2):131-142.
13. Gunn CC. *The Gunn Approach to the Treatment of Chronic Pain*. 2nd ed. New York, NY: Churchill Livingstone, 1997.
14. Frobb MK. Neural acupuncture: A rationale for the use of lidocaine infiltration at acupuncture points in the treatment of myofascial pain syndromes. *Med Acupunct* 2003;15(1):18-22.
15. Frobb MK. Neural acupuncture and the treatment of myofascial pain syndromes. *Acupunct Canada* 2005;Spring:1-3.
16. Chu J. Dry needling (intramuscular stimulation) in myofascial pain related to lumbosacral radiculopathy. *Eur J Phys Med Rehabil* 1995;5(4):106-121.
17. Chu J. The role of the monopolar electromyographic pin in myofascial pain therapy: Automated twitch-obtaining intramuscular stimulation (ATOIMS) and electrical twitch-obtaining intramuscular stimulation (ETOIMS). *Electromyogr Clin Neurophysiol* 1999;39:503-511.
18. Chu J. Twitch-obtaining intramuscular stimulation (TOIMS): Long-term observations in the management of chronic partial cervical radiculopathy. *Electromyogr Clin Neurophysiol* 2000;40:503-510.
19. Chu J. Early observations in radiculopathic pain control using electrodiagnostically derived new treatment techniques: Automated twitch-obtaining intramuscular stimulation (ATOIMS) and electrical twitch-obtaining intramuscular stimulation (ETOIMS).

- Electromyogr Clin Neurophysiol* 2000;40:195-204.
20. Chu J, Schwartz I. The muscle twitch in myofascial pain relief: Effects of acupuncture and other needling methods. *Electromyogr Clin Neurophysiol* 2002;42:307-311.
 21. Chu J, Takehara I, Li TC, Schwartz I. Electrical twitch-obtaining intramuscular stimulation (ETOIMS) for myofascial pain syndrome in a football player. *Br J Sports Med* 2004;38(5):E25.
 22. Chu J, Yuen KF, Wang BH, Chan RC, Schwartz I, Neuhauser D. Electrical twitch-obtaining intramuscular stimulation in lower back pain: A pilot study. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil* 2004;83:104-111.
 23. Chu J. Does EMG (dry needling) reduce myofascial pain symptoms due to cervical nerve root irritation? *Electromyogr Clin Neurophysiol* 1997;37:259-272.
 24. Baldry PE. *Acupuncture, Trigger Points and Musculoskeletal Pain*. Edinburgh, UK: Churchill Livingstone, 2005.
 25. Mayoral del Moral O. Fisioterapia invasiva del síndrome de dolor miofascial [Spanish; Invasive physical therapy for myofascial pain syndrome]. *Fisioterapia* 2005;27(2):69-75.
 26. Baldry P. Superficial versus deep dry needling. *Acupunct Med* 2002;20(2-3):78-81.
 27. Fu ZH, Chen XY, Lu LJ, Lin J, Xu JG. Immediate effect of Fu's subcutaneous needling for low back pain. *Chin Med J (Engl)* 2006;119(11):953-956.
 28. Fu Z-H, Wang J-H, Sun J-H, Chen X-Y, Xu J-G. Fu's subcutaneous needling: Possible clinical evidence of the subcutaneous connective tissue in acupuncture. *J Altern Complement Med* (In press).
 29. Fu Z-H, Xu J-G. A brief introduction to Fu's subcutaneous needling. *Pain Clinical Updates* 2005;17(3):343-348.
 30. Gunn CC. Radiculopathic pain: Diagnosis, treatment of segmental irritation or sensitization. *J Musculoskeletal Pain* 1997;5(4):119-134.
 31. Gunn CC. Available at: <http://www.istop.org/infopages/practitioners.htm>. 2006. Accessed November 21, 2006.
 32. Cannon WB, Rosenblueth A. *The Supersensitivity of Denervated Structures: A Law of Denervation*. New York, NY: MacMillan, 1949.
 33. Gunn CC, Milbrandt WE, Little AS, Mason KE. Dry needling of muscle motor points for chronic low-back pain: A randomized clinical trial with long-term follow-up. *Spine* 1980;5:279-291.
 34. Gunn CC. Reply to Chang-Zern Hong. *J Musculoskeletal Pain* 2000;8(3):137-142.
 35. Hong C-Z. Comment on Gunn's "radiculopathy model of myofascial trigger points." *J Musculoskeletal Pain* 2000;8(3):133-135.
 36. Arendt-Nielsen L, Graven-Nielsen T. Deep tissue hyperalgesia. *J Musculoskeletal Pain* 2002;10(1/2):97-119.
 37. Curatolo M, Arendt-Nielsen L, Petersen-Felix S. Evidence, mechanisms, and clinical implications of central hypersensitivity in chronic pain after whiplash injury. *Clin J Pain* 2004;20:469-476.
 38. Graven-Nielsen T, Arendt-Nielsen L. Peripheral and central sensitization in musculoskeletal pain disorders: An experimental approach. *Curr Rheumatol Rep* 2002;4:313-321.
 39. Mense S. The pathogenesis of muscle pain. *Curr Pain Headache Rep* 2003;7:419-425.
 40. Ji RR, Woolf CJ. Neuronal plasticity and signal transduction in nociceptive neurons: Implications for the initiation and maintenance of pathological pain. *Neurobiol Dis* 2001;8:1-10.
 41. Woolf CJ. The pathophysiology of peripheral neuropathic pain: Abnormal peripheral input and abnormal central processing. *Acta Neurochir (Suppl)* 1993;58:125-130.
 42. Woolf CJ, Mannion RJ. Neuropathic pain: Aetiology, symptoms, mechanisms, and management. *Lancet* 1999;353(9168):1959-1964.
 43. Simons DG. Do endplate noise and spikes arise from normal motor endplates? *Am J Phys Med Rehabil* 2001;80:134-140.
 44. Simons DG, Hong C-Z, Simons LS. Endplate potentials are common to midfiber myofascial trigger points. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil* 2002;81:212-222.
 45. Hubbard DR, Berkoff GM. Myofascial trigger points show spontaneous needle EMG activity. *Spine* 1993;18:1803-1807.
 46. Simons DG. Review of enigmatic MTrPs as a common cause of enigmatic musculoskeletal pain and dysfunction. *J Electromyogr Kinesiol* 2004;14:95-107.
 47. Weeks VD, Travell J. How to give painless injections. In: *AMA Scientific Exhibits*. New York, NY: Grune & Stratton, 1957:318-322.
 48. Lucas KR, Polus BI, Rich PS. Latent myofascial trigger points: Their effect on muscle activation and movement efficiency. *J Bodywork Mov Ther* 2004;8:160-166.
 49. Dejung B, Gröbli C, Colla F, Weissmann R. *Triggerpunkttherapie*. [German: Trigger Point Therapy]. Bern, Switzerland: Hans Huber, 2003.
 50. Archibald HC. Referred pain in headache. *Calif Med* 1955;82(3):186-187.
 51. Bajaj P, Bajaj P, Graven-Nielsen T, Arendt-Nielsen L. Trigger points in patients with lower limb osteoarthritis. *J Musculoskeletal Pain* 2001;9(3):17-33.
 52. Chen SM, Chen JT, Kuan TS, Hong CZ. Myofascial trigger points in intercostal muscles secondary to herpes zoster infection of the intercostal nerve. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 1998;79:336-338.
 53. Çimen A, Çelik M, Erdine S. Myofascial pain syndrome in the differential diagnosis of chronic abdominal pain. *Agri* 2004;16(3):45-47.
 54. Cummings M. Referred knee pain treated with electroacupuncture to iliopsoas. *Acupunct Med* 2003;21(1-2):32-35.
 55. Facco E, Ceccherelli F. Myofascial pain mimicking radicular syndromes. *Acta Neurochir (Suppl)* 2005;92:147-150.
 56. Fernández-de-las-Peñas C, Alonso-Blanco C, Cuadrado ML, Pareja JA. Myofascial trigger points in the suboccipital muscles in episodic tension-type headache. *Man Ther* 2006;11:225-230.
 57. Fernández-de-las-Peñas C, Alonso-Blanco C, Cuadrado ML, Gerwin RD, Pareja JA. Trigger points in the suboccipital muscles and forward head posture in tension-type headache. *Headache* 2006;46:454-460.
 58. Fernández-de-las-Peñas CF, Cuadrado ML, Gerwin RD, Pareja JA. Referred pain from the trochlear region in tension-type headache: A myofascial trigger point from the superior oblique muscle. *Headache* 2005;45:731-737.
 59. Fernández-de-las-Peñas C, Alonso-Blanco C, Cuadrado ML, Gerwin RD, Pareja JA. Myofascial trigger points and their relationship to headache clinical parameters in chronic tension-type headache. *Headache* 2006;46:1264-1272.
 60. Fernández-de-las-Peñas C, Ge HY, Arendt-Nielsen L, Cuadrado ML, Pareja JA. Referred pain from trapezius muscle trigger points shares similar characteristics with chronic tension-type headache. *Eur J Pain* 2006; ePub ahead of print.
 61. Friction JR, Kroening R, Haley D, Siegert R. Myofascial pain syndrome of the head and neck: A review of clinical characteristics of 164 patients. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol* 1985;60:615-623.

62. Kern KU, Martin C, Scheicher S, Muller H. Auslösung von Phantomschmerzen und -sensationen durch muskuläre Stumpfriggerpunkte nach Beinamputationen [German; Referred pain from amputation stump trigger points into the phantom limb]. *Schmerz* 2006;20:300-306.
63. Travell J. Referred pain from skeletal muscle: The pectoralis major syndrome of breast pain and soreness and the sternomastoid syndrome of headache and dizziness. *N Y State J Med* 1955;55:331-340.
64. Weiner DK, Schmader KE. Post-herpetic pain: More than sensory neuralgia? *Pain Med* 2006;7:243-249.
65. Mascia P, Brown BR, Friedman S. Toothache of nonodontogenic origin: A case report. *J Endod* 2003;29:608-610.
66. Reeh ES, elDeeb ME. Referred pain of muscular origin resembling endodontic involvement: Case report. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol* 1991;71:223-227.
67. Hong CZ, Kuan TS, Chen JT, Chen SM. Referred pain elicited by palpation and by needling of myofascial trigger points: A comparison. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 1997;78:957-960.
68. Hong C-Z, Chen Y-N, Twehous D, Hong DH. Pressure threshold for referred pain by compression on the trigger point and adjacent areas. *J Musculoskeletal Pain* 1996;4(3):61-79.
69. Vecchiet L, Vecchiet J, Giamberardino MA. Referred muscle pain: Clinical and pathophysiological aspects. *Curr Rev Pain* 1999;3:489-498.
70. Travell J. Temporomandibular joint pain referred from muscles of the head and neck. *J Prosthet Dent* 1960;10:745-763.
71. Travell JG, Rinzler SH. The myofascial genesis of pain. *Postgrad Med* 1952;11:452-434.
72. Kellgren JH. Observations on referred pain arising from muscle. *Clin Sci* 1938;3:175-190.
73. Kellgren JH. A preliminary account of referred pains arising from muscle. *BMJ* 1938;1:325-327.
74. Kellgren JH. Deep pain sensibility. *Lancet* 1949;1:943-949.
75. Arendt-Nielsen L, Graven-Nielsen T, Svensson P, Jensen TS. Temporal summation in muscles and referred pain areas: An experimental human study. *Muscle Nerve* 1997;20:1311-1313.
76. Arendt-Nielsen L, Laursen RJ, Drewes AM. Referred pain as an indicator for neural plasticity. *Prog Brain Res* 2000;129:343-356.
77. Cornwall J, Harris AJ, Mercer SR. The lumbar multifidus muscle and patterns of pain. *Man Ther* 2006;11:40-45.
78. Gibson W, Arendt-Nielsen L, Graven-Nielsen T. Delayed onset muscle soreness at tendon-bone junction and muscle tissue is associated with facilitated referred pain. *Exp Brain Res* 2006 (In press).
79. Gibson W, Arendt-Nielsen L, Graven-Nielsen T. Referred pain and hyperalgesia in human tendon and muscle belly tissue. *Pain* 2006;120:113-123.
80. Graven-Nielsen T, Arendt-Nielsen L. Induction and assessment of muscle pain, referred pain, and muscular hyperalgesia. *Curr Pain Headache Rep* 2003;7:443-451.
81. Graven-Nielsen T, Arendt-Nielsen L, Svensson P, Jensen TS. Quantification of local and referred muscle pain in humans after sequential i.m. injections of hypertonic saline. *Pain* 1997;69:111-117.
82. Hwang M, Kang YK, Kim DH. Referred pain pattern of the pronator quadratus muscle. *Pain* 2005;116:238-242.
83. Hwang M, Kang YK, Shin JY, Kim DH. Referred pain pattern of the abductor pollicis longus muscle. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil* 2005;84:593-597.
84. Witting N, Svensson P, Gottrup H, Arendt-Nielsen L, Jensen TS. Intramuscular and intradermal injection of capsaicin: A comparison of local and referred pain. *Pain* 2000;84:407-412.
85. Bron C, Wensing M, Franssen JLM, Oostendorp RAB. Interobserver reliability of palpation of myofascial trigger points in shoulder muscles. Unpublished.
86. Gerwin RD, Shannon S, Hong CZ, Hubbard D, Gevirtz R. Interrater reliability in myofascial trigger point examination. *Pain* 1997;69:65-73.
87. Sciotti VM, Mittak VL, DiMarco L, Ford LM, Plezbert J, Santipadri E, Wigglesworth J, Ball K. Clinical precision of myofascial trigger point location in the trapezius muscle. *Pain* 2001;93:259-266.
88. Al-Shenqiti AM, Oldham JA. Test-retest reliability of myofascial trigger point detection in patients with rotator cuff tendonitis. *Clin Rehabil* 2005;19:482-487.
89. Simons DG, Dommerholt J. Myofascial pain syndromes: Trigger points. *J Musculoskeletal Pain* 2005;13(4):39-48.
90. Dommerholt J. Muscle pain syndromes. In: RI Cantu, AJ Grodin, eds. *Myofascial Manipulation*. Gaithersburg, MD: Aspen, 2001:93-140.
91. Fryer G, Hodgson L. The effect of manual pressure release on myofascial trigger points in the upper trapezius muscle. *J Bodywork Mov Ther* 2005;9:248-255.
92. Escobar PL, Ballesteros J. Teres minor: Source of symptoms resembling ulnar neuropathy or C8 radiculopathy. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil* 1988;67:120-122.
93. Hong C-Z. Persistence of local twitch response with loss of conduction to and from the spinal cord. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 1994;75:12-16.
94. Hong C-Z, Torigoe Y. Electrophysiological characteristics of localized twitch responses in responsive taut bands of rabbit skeletal muscle. *J Musculoskeletal Pain* 1994;2:17-43.
95. Hong C-Z. Lidocaine injection versus dry needling to myofascial trigger point: The importance of the local twitch response. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil* 1994;73:256-263.
96. Ahmed HE, White PF, Craig WF, Hamza MA, Ghoname ES, Gajraj NM. Use of percutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (PENS) in the short-term management of headache. *Headache* 2000;40:311-315.
97. Barlas P, Ting SL, Chesterton LS, Jones PW, Sim J. Effects of intensity of electroacupuncture upon experimental pain in healthy human volunteers: A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. *Pain* 2006;122:81-89.
98. Mayoral O, Torres R. Tratamiento conservador y fisioterápico invasivo de los puntos gatillo miofasciales [Spanish: Conservative treatment and invasive physical therapy of myofascial trigger points]. In: *Patología de Partes Blandas en el Hombro* [Spanish; Soft Tissue Pathology in Man]. Madrid, Spain: Fundación MAPFRE Medicina, 2003.
99. White PF, Craig WF, Vakharia AS, Ghoname E, Ahmed HE, Hamza MA. Percutaneous neuromodulation therapy: Does the location of electrical stimulation affect the acute analgesic response? *Anesth Analg* 2000;91:949-954.
100. Ghoname EA, Craig WF, White PF, Ahmed HE, Hamza MA, Henderson BN, Gajraj NM, Huber PJ, Gatchel RJ. Percutaneous electrical nerve stimulation for low back pain: A randomized crossover study. *JAMA* 1999;281:818-823.
101. Ghoname EA, White PF, Ahmed HE, Hamza MA, Craig WF, Noe CE. Percutaneous electrical nerve stimulation: An alternative to TENS in the management of sciatica. *Pain* 1999;83:193-199.
102. Wang L, Zhang Y, Dai J, Yang J, Gang S. Electroacupuncture

- (EA) modulates the expression of NMDA receptors in primary sensory neurons in relation to hyperalgesia in rats. *Brain Res* 2006;1120:46-53.
103. Choi BT, Lee JH, Wan Y, Han JS: Involvement of ionotropic glutamate receptors in low-frequency electroacupuncture analgesia in rats. *Neurosci Lett* 2005;377(3):185-188.
 104. Lundeberg T, Stener-Victorin E. Is there a physiological basis for the use of acupuncture in pain? *Int Congress Series* 2002;1238:3-10.
 105. Lin JG, Lo MW, Wen YR, Hsieh CL, Tsai SK, Sun WZ. The effect of high- and low-frequency electroacupuncture in pain after lower abdominal surgery. *Pain* 2002;99:509-514.
 106. Elorriaga A. The 2-needle technique. *Med Acupunct* 2000;12(1):17-19.
 107. Mayoral O, De Felipe JA, Martínez JM. Changes in tenderness and tissue compliance in myofascial trigger points with a new technique of electroacupuncture: Three preliminary cases report. *J Musculoskeletal Pain* 2004;12(Suppl):33.
 108. Peets JM, Pomeranz B. CXBK mice deficient in opiate receptors show poor electroacupuncture analgesia. *Nature* 1978;273(5664):675-676.
 109. Noë A. *Action in Perception*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 2004.
 110. Dincer F, Linde K. Sham interventions in randomized clinical trials of acupuncture: A review. *Complement Ther Med* 2003;11(4):235-242.
 111. Streitberger K, Kleinhenz J. Introducing a placebo needle into acupuncture research. *Lancet* 1998;352(9125):364-365.
 112. White P, Lewith G, Hopwood V, Prescott P. The placebo needle: Is it a valid and convincing placebo for use in acupuncture trials? A randomised, single-blind, cross-over pilot trial. *Pain* 2003;106:401-409.
 113. Goddard G, Shen Y, Steele B, Springer N. A controlled trial of placebo versus real acupuncture. *J Pain* 2005;6:237-242.
 114. Cole J, Bushnell MC, McGlone F, Elam M, Lamarre Y, Vallbo A, Olausson H. Unmyelinated tactile afferents underpin detection of low-force monofilaments. *Muscle Nerve* 2006;34:105-107.
 115. Lund I, Lundeberg T. Are minimal, superficial or sham acupuncture procedures acceptable as inert placebo controls? *Acupunct Med* 2006;24(1):13-15.
 116. Olausson H, Lamarre Y, Backlund H, Morin C, Wallin BG, Starck G, Ekholm S, Strigo I, Worsley K, Vallbo AB, Bushnell MC. Unmyelinated tactile afferents signal touch and project to insular cortex. *Nat Neurosci* 2002;5:900-904.
 117. Mohr C, Binkofski F, Erdmann C, Buchel C, Helmchen C. The anterior cingulate cortex contains distinct areas dissociating external from self-administered painful stimulation: A parametric fMRI study. *Pain* 2005;114:347-357.
 118. Ingber RS. Iliopsoas myofascial dysfunction: A treatable cause of "failed" low back syndrome. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 1989;70:382-386.
 119. Lewit K. The needle effect in the relief of myofascial pain. *Pain* 1979;6:83-90.
 120. Steinbrocker O. Therapeutic injections in painful musculoskeletal disorders. *JAMA* 1944;125:397-401.
 121. Cummings M. Myofascial pain from pectoralis major following trans-axillary surgery. *Acupunct Med* 2003;21(3):105-107.
 122. Huguenin L, Brukner PD, McCrory P, Smith P, Wajswelner H, Bennell K. Effect of dry needling of gluteal muscles on straight leg raise: A randomised, placebo-controlled, double-blind trial. *Br J Sports Med* 2005;39:84-90.
 123. Gerwin RD. A standing complaint: Inability to sit. An unusual presentation of medial hamstring myofascial pain syndrome. *J Musculoskeletal Pain* 2001;9(4):81-93.
 124. Furlan A, Tulder M, Cherkin D, Tsukayama H, Lao L, Koes B, Berman B. Acupuncture and dry-needling for low back pain: An updated systematic review within the framework of the Cochrane Collaboration. *Spine* 2005;30:944-963.
 125. Shah JP, Phillips TM, Danoff JV, Gerber LH. An *in vivo* micro-analytical technique for measuring the local biochemical milieu of human skeletal muscle. *J Appl Physiol* 2005;99:1980-1987.
 126. Chen JT, Chung KC, Hou CR, Kuan TS, Chen SM, Hong C-Z. Inhibitory effect of dry needling on the spontaneous electrical activity recorded from myofascial trigger spots of rabbit skeletal muscle. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil* 2001;80:729-735.
 127. Dilorenzo L, Trallesi M, Morelli D, Pompa A, Brunelli S, Buzzi MG, Formisano R. Hemiparetic shoulder pain syndrome treated with deep dry needling during early rehabilitation: A prospective, open-label, randomized investigation. *J Musculoskeletal Pain* 2004;12(2):25-34.
 128. Ceccherelli F, Rigoni MT, Gagliardi G, Ruzzante L. Comparison between superficial and deep acupuncture in the treatment of lumbar myofascial pain: A double-blind randomized controlled study. *Clin J Pain* 2002;18:149-153.
 129. Itoh K, Katsumi Y, Kitakoji H. Trigger point acupuncture treatment of chronic low back pain in elderly patients: A blinded RCT. *Acupunct Med* 2004;2(4):170-177.
 130. Karakurum B, Karaalin O, Coskun O, Dora B, Ucler S, Inan L. The "dry-needle technique": Intramuscular stimulation in tension-type headache. *Cephalalgia* 2001;21:813-817.
 131. Edwards J, Knowles N. Superficial dry needling and active stretching in the treatment of myofascial pain: A randomised controlled trial. *Acupunct Med* 2003;21(3 SU):80-86.
 132. Macdonald AJ, Macrae KD, Master BR, Rubin AP. Superficial acupuncture in the relief of chronic low back pain. *Ann R Coll Surg Engl* 1983;65:44-46.
 133. Naslund J, Naslund UB, Odenbring S, Lundeberg T. Sensory stimulation (acupuncture) for the treatment of idiopathic anterior knee pain. *J Rehabil Med* 2002;34:231-238.
 134. Sadeh M, Stern LZ, Czyzewski K. Changes in end-plate cholinesterase and axons during muscle degeneration and regeneration. *J Anat* 1985;140(Pt 1):165-176.
 135. Gasparsic R, Koritnik B, Erzen I, Sketelj J. Muscle activity-resistant acetylcholine receptor accumulation is induced in places of former motor endplates in ectopically innervated regenerating rat muscles. *Int J Dev Neurosci* 2001;19:339-346.
 136. Schultz E, Jaryszak DL, Valliere CR. Response of satellite cells to focal skeletal muscle injury. *Muscle Nerve* 1985;8:217-222.
 137. Teravainen H. Satellite cells of striated muscle after compression injury so slight as not to cause degeneration of the muscle fibres. *Z Zellforsch Mikrosk Anat* 1970;103:320-327.
 138. Reznik M. Current concepts of skeletal muscle regeneration. In: CM Pearson, FK Mostofy, eds. *The Striated Muscle*. Baltimore, MD: Williams & Wilkins, 1973:185-225.
 139. Langevin HM, Churchill DL, Cipolla MJ. Mechanical signaling through connective tissue: A mechanism for the therapeutic effect of acupuncture. *Faseb J* 2001;15:2275-2282.
 140. Liboff AR. Bioelectromagnetic fields and acupuncture. *J Altern Complement Med* 1997;3(Suppl 1):S77-S87.
 141. Lundeberg T, Uvnas-Moberg K, Agren G, Bruzelius G. Antinociceptive effects of oxytocin in rats and mice. *Neurosci Lett* 1994;170:153-157.

142. Uvnas-Moberg K, Bruzelius G, Alster P, Lundeberg T. The antinociceptive effect of non-noxious sensory stimulation is mediated partly through oxytocinergic mechanisms. *Acta Physiol Scand* 1993;149:199-204.
143. Bowsher D. Mechanisms of acupuncture. In: J Filshie, A White, eds. *Western Acupuncture: A Western Scientific Approach*. Edinburgh, UK: Churchill Livingstone, 1998.
144. Baldry PE. *Myofascial Pain and Fibromyalgia Syndromes*. Edinburgh, UK: Churchill Livingstone, 2001.
145. Millan MJ. The induction of pain: An integrative review. *Prog Neurobiol* 1999;57:1-164.
146. FDA Topics and Answers. Available at: <http://www.fda.gov/bbs/topics/ANSWERS/ANS00817.html>. 1997. Accessed November 15, 2005.
147. Uchida S, Kagitani F, Suzuki A, Aikawa Y. Effect of acupuncture-like stimulation on cortical cerebral blood flow in anesthetized rats. *Jpn J Physiol* 2000;50:495-507.
148. Alavi A, LaRiccia PJ, Sadek AH, Newberg AB, Lee L, Reich H, Lattananand C, Mozley PD. Neuroimaging of acupuncture in patients with chronic pain. *J Altern Complement Med* 1997;3(Suppl 1): S47-S53.
149. Takeshige C, Kobori M, Hishida F, Luo CP, Usami S. Analgesia inhibitory system involvement in nonacupuncture point-stimulation-produced analgesia. *Brain Res Bull* 1992;28:379-391.
150. Takeshige C, Sato T, Mera T, Hisamitsu T, Fang J. Descending pain inhibitory system involved in acupuncture analgesia. *Brain Res Bull* 1992;29:617-634.
151. Takeshige C, Tsuchiya M, Zhao W, Guo S. Analgesia produced by pituitary ACTH and dopaminergic transmission in the arcuate. *Brain Res Bull* 1991;26:779-788.
152. Hui KK, Liu J, Makris N, Gollub RL, Chen AJ, Moore CI, Kennedy DN, Rosen BR, Kwong KK. Acupuncture modulates the limbic system and subcortical gray structures of the human brain: Evidence from fMRI studies in normal subjects. *Hum Brain Mapp* 2000;9:13-25.
153. Wu MT, Hsieh JC, Xiong J, Yang CF, Pan HB, Chen YC, Tsai G, Rosen BR, Kwong KK. Central nervous pathway for acupuncture stimulation: Localization of processing with functional MR imaging of the brain—Preliminary experience. *Radiol* 1999;212:133-141.
154. Wager TD, Rilling JK, Smith EE, Sokolik A, Casey KL, Davidson RJ, Kosslyn SM, Rose RM, Cohen JD. Placebo-induced changes in fMRI in the anticipation and experience of pain. *Science* 2004;303(5661):1162-1167.
155. Campbell A. Point specificity of acupuncture in the light of recent clinical and imaging studies. *Acupunct Med* 2006;24(3):118-122.
156. Langevin HM, Bouffard NA, Badger GJ, Churchill DL, Howe AK. Subcutaneous tissue fibroblast cytoskeletal remodeling induced by acupuncture: Evidence for a mechanotransduction-based mechanism. *J Cell Physiol* 2006;207:767-774.
157. Langevin HM, Bouffard NA, Badger GJ, Iatridis JC, Howe AK. Dynamic fibroblast cytoskeletal response to subcutaneous tissue stretch *ex vivo* and *in vivo*. *Am J Physiol Cell Physiol* 2005;288: C747-C756.
158. Langevin HM, Churchill DL, Fox JR, Badger GJ, Garra BS, Krag MH. Biomechanical response to acupuncture needling in humans. *J Appl Physiol* 2001;91:2471-2478.
159. Langevin HM, Churchill DL, Wu J, Badger GJ, Yandow JA, Fox JR, Krag MH. Evidence of connective tissue involvement in acupuncture. *Faseb J* 2002;16:872-874.
160. Langevin HM, Konofagou EE, Badger GJ, Churchill DL, Fox JR, Ophir J, Garra BS. Tissue displacements during acupuncture using ultrasound elastography techniques. *Ultrasound Med Biol* 2004;30:1173-1183.
161. Langevin HM, Storch KN, Cipolla MJ, White SL, Buttolph TR, Taatjes DJ. Fibroblast spreading induced by connective tissue stretch involves intracellular redistribution of alpha- and beta-actin. *Histochem Cell Biol* 2006;125:487-495.
162. Gunn CC, Milbrandt WE. The neurological mechanism of needle-grasp in acupuncture. *Am J Acupuncture* 1977;5(2):115-120.
163. Chiquet M, Renedo AS, Huber F, Fluck M. How do fibroblasts translate mechanical signals into changes in extracellular matrix production? *Matrix Biol* 2003;22:73-80.
164. Langevin HM. Connective tissue: A body-wide signaling network? *Med Hypotheses* 2006;66:1074-1077.
165. Byrn C, Borenstein P, Linder LE. Treatment of neck and shoulder pain in whiplash syndrome patients with intracutaneous sterile water injections. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand* 1991;35:52-53.
166. Cheshire WP, Abashian SW, Mann JD. Botulinum toxin in the treatment of myofascial pain syndrome. *Pain* 1994;59:65-69.
167. Frost A. Diclofenac versus lidocaine as injection therapy in myofascial pain. *Scand J Rheumatol* 1986;15:153-156.
168. Hameroff SR, Crago BR, Blitt CD, Womble J, Kanel J. Comparison of bupivacaine, etidocaine, and saline for trigger-point therapy. *Anesth Analg* 1981;60:752-755.
169. Iwama H, Akama Y. The superiority of water-diluted 0.25% to near 1% lidocaine for trigger-point injections in myofascial pain syndrome: A prospective, randomized, double-blinded trial. *Anesth Analg* 2000;91:408-409.
170. Iwama H, Ohmori S, Kaneko T, Watanabe K. Water-diluted local anesthetic for trigger-point injection in chronic myofascial pain syndrome: Evaluation of types of local anesthetic and concentrations in water. *Reg Anesth Pain Med* 2001;26:333-336.
171. Müller W, Stratz T. Local treatment of tendinopathies and myofascial pain syndromes with the 5-HT₃ receptor antagonist tropisetron. *Scand J Rheumatol Suppl* 2004;119:44-48.
172. Rodriguez-Acosta A, Pena L, Finol HJ, and Pulido-Mendez M. Cellular and subcellular changes in muscle, neuromuscular junctions and nerves caused by bee (*Apis mellifera*) venom. *J Submicrosc Cytol Pathol* 2004;36:91-96.
173. Travell J. Basis for the multiple uses of local block of somatic trigger areas (procaine infiltration and ethyl chloride spray). *Miss Valley Med* 1949;71:13-22.
174. Frost FA, Jessen B, Siggaard-Andersen J. A control, double-blind comparison of mepivacaine injection versus saline injection for myofascial pain. *Lancet* 1980;1:499-501.
175. Fischer AA. New developments in diagnosis of myofascial pain and fibromyalgia. In: Fischer AA, ed. *Myofascial Pain: Update in Diagnosis and Treatment*. Philadelphia, PA: W.B. Saunders, 1997:1-21.
176. Garvey TA, Marks MR, Wiesel SW. A prospective, randomized, double-blind evaluation of trigger-point injection therapy for low-back pain. *Spine* 1989;14:962-964.
177. Travell J, Bobb AL. Mechanism of relief of pain in sprains by local injection techniques. *Fed Proc* 1947;6:378.
178. Ettlin T. Trigger point injection treatment with the 5-HT₃ receptor antagonist tropisetron in patients with late whiplash-associated disorder: First results of a multiple case study. *Scand J Rheumatol Suppl* 2004;119:49-50.
179. Saunders S, Longworth S. *Injection Techniques in Orthopaedics and Sports Medicine: A Practical Manual for Doctors and Physiotherapists*. 3rd ed. Edinburgh, UK: Churchill Livingstone,

- 2006.
180. Borg-Stein J. Treatment of fibromyalgia, myofascial pain, and related disorders. *Phys Med Rehabil Clin N Am* 2006;17(2):491-510, viii.
 181. Kamanli A, Kaya A, Ardicoglu O, Ozgocmen S, Zengin FO, Bayik Y. Comparison of lidocaine injection, botulinum toxin injection, and dry needling to trigger points in myofascial pain syndrome. *Rheumatol Int* 2005;25:604-611.
 182. Fischer AA. Local injections in pain management: Trigger point needling with infiltration and somatic blocks. In: GH Kraft, SM Weinstein, eds. *Injection Techniques: Principles and Practice*. Philadelphia, PA: W.B. Saunders, 1995.
 183. McMillan AS, Blasberg B. Pain-pressure threshold in painful jaw muscles following trigger point injection. *J Orofacial Pain* 1994;8:384-390.
 184. Tschopp KP, Gysin C. Local injection therapy in 107 patients with myofascial pain syndrome of the head and neck. *ORL* 1996;58:306-310.
 185. Ling FW, Slocumb JC. Use of trigger point injections in chronic pelvic pain. *Obstet Gynecol Clin North Am* 1993;20:809-815.
 186. Padamsee M, Mehta N, White GE. Trigger point injection: A neglected modality in the treatment of TMJ dysfunction. *J Pedod* 1987;12:72-92.
 187. Tsen LC, Camann WR. Trigger point injections for myofascial pain during epidural analgesia for labor. *Reg Anesth* 1997;22:466-468.
 188. Ney JP, Difazio M, Sichani A, Monacci W, Foster L, Jabbari B. Treatment of chronic low back pain with successive injections of botulinum toxin over 6 months: A prospective trial of 60 patients. *Clin J Pain* 2006;22:363-369.
 189. Jaeger B, Skootsky SA. Double-blind, controlled study of different myofascial trigger point injection techniques. *Pain* 1987;4(Suppl):S292.
 190. Cummings TM, White AR. Needling therapies in the management of myofascial trigger point pain: A systematic review. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2001;82:986-992.
 191. Wheeler AH, Goolkasian P, Gretz SS. A randomized, double-blind, prospective pilot study of botulinum toxin injection for refractory, unilateral, cervicothoracic, paraspinal, myofascial pain syndrome. *Spine* 1998;23:1662-1666.
 192. Mense S. Neurobiological basis for the use of botulinum toxin in pain therapy. *J Neurol* 2004;251 Suppl 1:11-17.
 193. Reilich P, Theodoroff K, Kern U, Mense S, Seddigh S, Wissel J, Pongratz D. Consensus statement: Botulinum toxin in myofascial pain. *J Neurol* 2004;251 Suppl 1:I36-I38.
 194. Lang AM. Botulinum toxin therapy for myofascial pain disorders. *Curr Pain Headache Rep* 2002;6:355-360.
 195. Kern U, Martin C, Scheicher S, Mller H. Langzeitbehandlung von Phantom- und Stumpfschmerzen mit Botulinumtoxin Typ A ber 12 Monate: Eine erste klinische Beobachtung. [German; Prolonged treatment of phantom and stump pain with Botulinum Toxin A over a period of 12 months: A preliminary clinical observation] *Nervenarzt* 2004;75:336-340.
 196. Göbel H, Heinze A, Reichel G, Hefter H, Benecke R. Efficacy and safety of a single botulinum type A toxin complex treatment (Dysport) for the relief of upper back myofascial pain syndrome: Results from a randomized double-blind placebo-controlled multicentre study. *Pain* 2006;125:82-88.
 197. Aoki KR. Review of a proposed mechanism for the antinociceptive action of botulinum toxin type A. *Neurotoxicology* 2005;26:785-793.
 198. Aoki KR. Pharmacology and immunology of botulinum neurotoxins. *Int Ophthalmol Clin* 2005;45(3):25-37.
 199. Peng PW, Castano ED. Survey of chronic pain practice by anesthesiologists in Canada. *Can J Anaesth* 2005;52(4):383-389.
 200. Gunn CC. Transcutaneous neural stimulation, needle acupuncture and "teh Ch'I" phenomenon. *Am J Acupuncture* 1976;4:317-322.
 201. Gunn CC. Type IV acupuncture points. *Am J Acupuncture* 1977;5(1):45-46.
 202. Gunn CC, Ditchburn FG, King MH, Renwick GJ. Acupuncture loci: A proposal for their classification according to their relationship to known neural structures. *Am J Chin Med* 1976;4:183-195.
 203. Gunn CC, Milbrandt WE. Tenderness at motor points: An aid in the diagnosis of pain in the shoulder referred from the cervical spine. *J Am Osteopath Assoc* 1977;77(3):196-212.
 204. Gunn CC. Motor points and motor lines. *Am J Acupuncture* 1978;6:55-58.
 205. Birch S. Trigger point: Acupuncture point correlations revisited. *J Altern Complement Med* 2003;9:91-103.
 206. Melzack R. Myofascial trigger points: Relation to acupuncture and mechanisms of pain. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 1981;62:114-117.
 207. Dorsher P. Trigger points and acupuncture points: Anatomic and clinical correlations. *Med Acupunct* 2006;17(3):21-25.
 208. Kao MJ, Hsieh YL, Kuo FJ, Hong C-Z. Electrophysiological assessment of acupuncture points. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil* 2006;85:443-448.
 209. Hong C-Z. Myofascial trigger points: Pathophysiology and correlation with acupuncture points. *Acupunct Med* 2000;18(1):41-47.
 210. Audette JF, Binder RA. Acupuncture in the management of myofascial pain and headache. *Curr Pain Headache Rep* 2003;7(5 Suppl):395-401.
 211. Melzack R, Stillwell DM, Fox EJ. Trigger points and acupuncture points for pain: Correlations and implications. *Pain* 1977;3:3-23.
 212. Simons DG, Dommerholt J. Myofascial pain syndromes: Trigger points. *J Musculoskeletal Pain* 2006 (In press).
 213. Travell JG, Simons DG. *Myofascial Pain and Dysfunction: The Trigger Point Manual*. Vol 2. Baltimore, MD: Williams & Wilkins, 1992.
 214. Ge HY, Madeleine P, Wang K, Arendt-Nielsen L. Hypoalgesia to pressure pain in referred pain areas triggered by spatial summation of experimental muscle pain from unilateral or bilateral trapezius muscles. *Eur J Pain* 2003;7:531-537.
 215. New Mexico Statutes Annotated 1978. Chapter 61: Professional and Occupational Licenses. Article 14A: Acupuncture and Oriental Medicine Practice. 3: Definitions, 1978.
 216. Linde K, Streng A, Jurgens S, Hoppe A, Brinkhaus B, Witt C, Wagenpfeil S, Pfaffenrath V, Hammes MG, Weidenhammer W, Willich SN, Melchart D. Acupuncture for patients with migraine: A randomized controlled trial. *JAMA* 2005;293:2118-2125.
 217. Melchart D, Streng A, Hoppe A, Brinkhaus B, Witt C, Wagenpfeil S, Pfaffenrath V, Hammes M, Hummelsberger J, Irnich D, Weidenhammer W, Willich SN, Linde K. Acupuncture in patients with tension-type headache: Randomised controlled trial. *BMJ* 2005;331(7513):376-382.
 218. Scharf HP, Mansmann U, Streiberger K, Witte S, Kramer J, Maier C, Trampisch HJ, Victor N. Acupuncture and knee osteoarthritis: A three-armed randomized trial. *Ann Intern Med* 2006;145:12-20.